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Summary
A persistent concern for purchasers of health management services is that of quantifying the value of its activities. Recognizing that a wide variety of methods are available for assessing financial outcomes of health management programming, as well as a continued lack of consensus on best practices for evaluating program outcomes, Optum™ developed a Real-Time Performance Management solution using Value Drivers to provide a practical method for measuring and reporting leading indicators of value delivered.

The Problem: No single system exists to help manage activity and costs.
Just as no single model of health improvement works best in all settings, no single measure or method of value assessment is appropriate for all circumstances. Not only do positive financial returns often take years of health care management program exposure, but determining the full impact of health management investments also requires rigorous research methods that compare health and cost outcomes of those intervened upon with outcomes of an equivalent comparison group. Many employers and providers lack the resources to delve into such detail. In addition, several measures, such as referring consumers to appropriate programs, helping people achieve wellness goals or providing health education, for example, are not routinely found in claims data. As a result, finding specific information about health care management program activities or the effectiveness of such programs, including proving the return on investment (ROI) to senior management, has been a major concern for many business managers. In an effort to balance the operational constraints of conducting rigorous scientific evaluations across all business settings and client types with purchasers’ desire for more timely reports of leading indicator metrics, Optum developed a reporting tool that provides real-time indicators of value delivered from identifying and proactively managing members with chronic or other health conditions.

The Solution: Optum eSync intervenes before major health care events.
Using eSync, a proactive predictive modeling tool based on medical claims and pharmacy data, lab results, self-reported data, and behavioral and segmentation information to identify potential member health issues, Optum intervenes with members before major health events occur. Optum features best-in-class programs and services to manage health conditions and risks. By improving adherence to evidence-based medicine, reducing unnecessary hospitalizations and health care utilization, and enhancing quality of life, we give participants, employers and payers the information and tools they need to achieve optimum clinical and financial outcomes. Based on accepted clinical guidelines for care and quality standards, Optum programs and services are intended to “drive” clinical outcomes that result in healthier members and corresponding reductions in health care costs.

To determine potential medical cost savings resulting from program participation, Optum monetized 800 of these value-driven services or “Value Drivers.”
A Value Driver can have a clinical outcome, such as coaching a consumer to maintain normal blood pressure or blood glucose levels, or be a significant milestone to achieving an outcome, such as helping a consumer increase adherence to beta blocker medication after a heart attack. Value Drivers estimate both clinical and financial outcomes for populations engaged in health management programs.

Value Drivers balance the feasibility and cost of more rigorous claims-based evaluations of financial outcomes with more immediate and clear indicators of potential return on investment in real time by measuring multiple savings areas, including value related to consumers seeking appropriate treatment, finding the right care providers, making healthy lifestyle modifications or using medication properly.

The Real-Time Performance Management capability using Value Driver methodology offers a clear view into specific health management services provided and removes traditional medical claims reporting lags to help payers better understand the potential impact of clinical interventions in a timely manner. In the past, the ability to tailor program activities to specific populations has been limited because reports are not generated until a year or more after program implementation. Real-Time Performance Management provides the ability to continuously modify health care management approaches and operations to create the most value for the payer and consumer by customizing enrollment approaches and delivering focused solutions to address specific gaps and cost drivers.

**Methods: Leverage evidence-based guidelines**

We created and monetized over 800 Value Drivers based on services provided to members by Optum telephonic- or web-based health coaches or nurses. A team of medical directors, health care experts and research analysts developed Value Drivers by examining systemic issues within given disease states (e.g., over/under utilization of care, lack of education, variation of care), leveraging evidence-based medicine guidelines from recognized leading authorities, utilizing claims-based cost data and examining care recommendations from medical experts. Value Drivers span several domains, including chronic and acute-care conditions, preventive services, health promotion and lifestyle modifications. Each Value Driver is documented to indicate the potential clinical and financial impact of closing a gap in care, methodology for monetization, strength of evidence, assumptions and caveats, supporting documentation and estimated monetary value. Recognition and closure of each Value Driver is either self-reported by a consumer or case-manager reported.
Monetizing Value Drivers: 800 Value Drivers based on Optum Services

Health care analysts created Value Driver monetization models based on medical claims cost data, in-house research analysis of program outcomes or surveys, results reported in peer-reviewed medical literature, or expert medical opinion. To monetize each Value Driver, annual per capita savings and costs related to each service activity were calculated, then summed to yield a net financial effect (cost saved, cost incurred or zero value) for each measure. All Value Driver models calculated with claims data considered only direct medical costs, typically over a one-year time frame. Indirect costs, such as productivity and presenteeism, were addressed for a select group of health conditions based on availability of self-reported work loss survey data, but are not reported here. To more closely align to future medical expense impacts, Value Driver analyses considered a one-year time frame, and included cost increases (such as additional medication or evaluation charges), as well as cost reductions (such as fewer adverse events, e.g., heart attack, stroke or readmission).

Value Drivers are currently monetized based on calendar year 2012 data. If existing cost data were used to create a Value Driver and reported results were prior to 2012, a 4.5 percent inflation factor was used to adjust all dollar amounts to 2012 levels (based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics average annual inflation factor for Medical Care Services from 2002 — 2012). Similarly, if existing data reported values over several years, figures were annualized and assumed to be linear over time. Peer-reviewed literature searches were often conducted to determine metrics needed for a Value Driver model, such as average number of condition-specific medical events per year or statistics supporting an intervention’s effect on measures.

All claims-based Value Drivers were monetized using Allowed Amount, which includes health plan liability (net paid amount) and patient liability (coinsurance and deductible amounts) using a UnitedHealthcare® commercial population as the reference population. Condition-specific allowed amounts were obtained by analyzing relevant Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) diagnosis code categories available in claims data. Whenever possible, Value Driver models using claims data included three months of claims run-out to ensure complete information for analyses.
When claims or Optum research data were unavailable or such analyses were unduly complex, valuation estimates were developed based on literature searches to find studies and meta-analyses reporting cost and utilization outcomes. Literature-based analyses reported over several years were assumed to be linear and annualized. When medical claims or clinical literature were not available, expert estimates were used to monetize a Value Driver. The results of each valuation model were subject to peer review by a multidisciplinary expert panel and documented to support annual savings or cost estimates. Expert panels were asked to avoid undue optimism or conservatism in making their estimates.

Results: Customized to the individual

To illustrate a monetization model, consider a consumer with heart failure (HF). Based on claims data, the eSync platform flags those not adhering to medication and Optum nurses contact those consumers. By providing helpful tips on how to remember to take medication and education on the importance of taking medication regularly, nurses are able to help patients become compliant with their medication regimes. Research shows that beta blocker medication is an important component of standard heart failure therapy. Optum created a Value Driver to reflect the value of helping consumers become adherent to prescribed therapy. Clinical and financial benefits of this Value Driver include improvements in heart failure symptoms and reduced rates of hospitalization and mortality for many individuals with systolic dysfunction.

To monetize this Value Driver, a search was conducted for peer-reviewed literature to determine the impact of beta blocker use on health care utilization. A meta-analysis of 22 randomized controlled trials by Brophy et al. (2001) found that the addition of beta blocker therapy reduced the risk of hospitalization with an absolute difference between cases and controls of four fewer hospitalizations per hundred patients treated in the first year (4%).

OptumInsight (formerly Ingenix) Episode Treatment Group (ETG) claims data were used to determine the average allowed dollar amount per episode of care for heart failure ($51,322). The reduced admission rate of 4% was multiplied by the cost of an episode of care for a gross savings of $2,053. It is important to note the assumption that medication adherence will help the patient avoid not only a hospital admission, but also the entire cost of care associated with an episode of heart failure that requires hospitalization in this instance. The average daily cost of beta blocker therapy was retrieved from a pharmacy database ($0.89 per day). The per-day costs were then subtracted from calculated savings as 91 days x $0.89 = $81. Net savings for this Value Driver were calculated by subtracting the $81 cost from the $2,053 savings for a total estimated savings of $1,972 (Table 1).
While valuation models for most Value Drivers are similar to the one described above, not all result in cost savings. Some Value Drivers have a positive clinical effect, but a net negative financial effect. Examples include improving adherence to high-cost medications, referring consumers to specialists for certain conditions or encouraging consumers to get appropriate tests or procedures for their conditions, all of which could increase medical costs in the short term, but are likely to improve health over the long term. Other Value Drivers have $0 financial effect, but help consumers better navigate the health care system or become more knowledgeable about their health. Examples include educating consumers about providers best able to manage a condition, referring members to other programs best suited to their needs (e.g., NurseLine or wellness coaching), going over details of a treatment plan (e.g., care planning or removing barriers to care) or assessing a consumer’s quality of life.

Real-Time Performance Management reports projected per member per month (PMPM) savings for clients. As an example, we examined the number of enrollments in Optum programs and the number of closed or confirmed Value Driver opportunities over a one-year time period for an illustrative client. Results showed an average of 5.1 closed opportunities per enrollment across over 1.2 million members with nearly 103,000 program enrollments, or an average of $610 in savings per enrollment or $4.29 PMPM (Table 2).

While valuation models for most Value Drivers are similar to the one described above, not all result in cost savings. Some Value Drivers have a positive clinical effect, but a net negative financial effect. Examples include improving adherence to high-cost medications, referring consumers to specialists for certain conditions or encouraging consumers to get appropriate tests or procedures for their conditions, all of which could increase medical costs in the short term, but are likely to improve health over the long term. Other Value Drivers have $0 financial effect, but help consumers better navigate the health care system or become more knowledgeable about their health. Examples include educating consumers about providers best able to manage a condition, referring members to other programs best suited to their needs (e.g., NurseLine or wellness coaching), going over details of a treatment plan (e.g., care planning or removing barriers to care) or assessing a consumer’s quality of life.

Real-Time Performance Management reports projected per member per month (PMPM) savings for clients. As an example, we examined the number of enrollments in Optum programs and the number of closed or confirmed Value Driver opportunities over a one-year time period for an illustrative client. Results showed an average of 5.1 closed opportunities per enrollment across over 1.2 million members with nearly 103,000 program enrollments, or an average of $610 in savings per enrollment or $4.29 PMPM (Table 2).
As with other methods for measuring financial outcomes associated with health and disease management services, the Real-Time Performance Management solution using Value Drivers to estimate medical cost savings opportunities has some limitations. First, claims-based analyses were limited to UnitedHealthcare — due to detailed data requirements and availability, but medical cost savings based on a UnitedHealthcare population may not be generalizable to a given large employer group population. In addition, regular review of the clinical and financial value of Value Drivers is required to keep up to date on industry changes (e.g., advances in pharmaceutical treatments, improvements in procedures, medical developments, etc.) and to keep cost models current. Also, potential savings estimates can vary widely by opportunity type and severity of health conditions for particular populations. Value Drivers for different programs targeted populations with various acuity levels (high-, moderate- and low-risk). Case Management/Disease Management program Value Drivers, for example, were monetized based on a high-acuity population. Value Drivers associated with other programs (e.g., NurseLine) were based on a low- or moderate-acuity population. If claims data did not contain information regarding disease acuity level, scientific literature was reviewed to obtain prevalence and health care utilization rates for specific disease risk levels.

Despite these limitations, continuous management and assessment of performance in a real-time environment allows Optum to proactively align operational activities around the most impactful Value Drivers. As the debate continues to determine the best approach to analyze financial outcomes associated with disease and other health management services, our Real-Time Performance Management methodology offers a balanced scorecard approach. Further research is ongoing to determine the validity of Value Driver methodology as a leading indicator of cost savings when compared to medical cost savings for the same conditions among program participants.

Conclusion

Typically, disease and health management program results are reported after an 18-month delay in savings calculations for the payer. Our Real-Time Performance Management solution using Value Driver methodology, however, provides an opportunity for immediate understanding of value delivered and the details on specific services provided that lead to closing gaps in care across all types of interventions and health conditions.

Armed with information included in Real-Time Performance Management reports, purchasers can more easily and accurately determine what care services were provided to their members and, as a result, how best to engage their employees to better manage their health.
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